Changes in dose with segmentation of breast tissues in Monte Carlo calculations for low-energy brachytherapy
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Purpose: To investigate the use of various breast tissue segmentation models in Monte Carlo dose calculations for low-energy brachytherapy.

Methods: The EGSnrc user-code BrachyDose is used to perform Monte Carlo simulations of a breast brachytherapy treatment using TheraSeed Pd-103 seeds with various breast tissue segmentation models. Models used include a phantom where voxels are randomly assigned to be gland or adipose (randomly segmented), a phantom where a single tissue of averaged gland and adipose is present (averaged tissue), and a realistically segmented phantom created from previously published numerical phantoms. Radiation transport in averaged tissue while scoring in gland along with other combinations is investigated. The inclusion of calcifications in the breast is also studied in averaged tissue and randomly segmented phantoms.

Results: In randomly segmented and averaged tissue phantoms, the photon energy fluence is approximately the same; however, differences occur in the dose volume histograms (DVHs) as a result of scoring in the different tissues (gland and adipose versus averaged tissue), whose mass energy absorption coefficients differ by 30%. A realistically segmented phantom is shown to significantly change the photon energy fluence compared to that in averaged tissue or randomly segmented phantoms. Despite this, resulting DVHs for the entire treatment volume agree reasonably because fluence differences are compensated by dose scoring differences. DVHs for the dose to only the gland voxels in a realistically segmented phantom do not agree with those for dose to gland in an averaged tissue phantom. Calcifications affect photon energy fluence to such a degree that the differences in fluence are not compensated for (as they are in the no calcification case) by dose scoring in averaged tissue phantoms.

Conclusions: For low-energy brachytherapy, if photon transport and dose scoring both occur in an averaged tissue, the resulting DVH for the entire treatment volume is reasonably accurate because inaccuracies in photon energy fluence are compensated for by inaccuracies in localized dose scoring. If dose to fibroglandular tissue in the breast is of interest, then the inaccurate photon energy fluence calculated in an averaged tissue phantom will result in inaccurate DVHs and average doses for those tissues. Including calcifications necessitates the use of proper tissue segmentation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Historically, dose in a homogeneous, water environment has been the primary focus of brachytherapy dosimetry, as seen in the TG-43 (Ref. 1) protocol. One of the advantages of Monte Carlo dose calculations is the possibility of modeling segmented, nonwater media in simulations. The use of more detailed models raises important issues such as the level of detail needed to accurately compute the dose, the choice of tissues and media to include, as well as the required accuracy of the compositions. Further, there is the question of which tissues are of clinical interest. As the brachytherapy community considers clinical implementation and possible future adoption of model-based dose calculation algorithms, these considerations are of increasing importance.

These issues arise when considering nonwater breast models for Monte Carlo calculations. Breast tissue generally consists of fibroglandular and adipose tissues, possibly with some calcifications. The proportion of each of these tissues in a typical breast has been studied by Yaffe et al.,2 who found that the mean percentage of fibroglandular tissue was 19.3% by volume. The dose to fibroglandular tissue is the quantity of interest in mammography radiation protection2–5 and may also be relevant for brachytherapy treatments as the linear attenuation coefficients of gland and tumour are similar.6,7 With the advent of 103Pd treatments, the use of 50 kV electronic brachytherapy sources for partial breast irradiation and the use of model-based dose calculation algorithms, there is increasing interest in the role of breast tissue composition in brachytherapy.8–10

Current model-based practices in Monte Carlo simulations for brachytherapy typically use homogeneous averaged tissues to represent different ratios of glandular and adipose

tissue.\textsuperscript{8,10} Sometimes, CT data are used to assign the mass density to each voxel.\textsuperscript{8} Photon transport and energy deposition are modeled in these averaged tissues. While more recent work has begun to investigate breast tissue segmentation\textsuperscript{11} and the importance of tissue segmentation for kilovoltage beams,\textsuperscript{12} the differences between the dose to the separate glandular and adipose tissues have been largely ignored in treatment planning studies.

In mammography radiation protection, it is common to estimate the average dose to the glandular tissue\textsuperscript{2–5} by transporting photons through an averaged tissue and then calculating the portion of energy deposited in the fibroglandular tissue using ratios of mass energy absorption coefficients. In effect, photon transport is modeled in the averaged tissue and energy deposition to gland is calculated.

The question of the accuracy of using homogeneous averaged tissues in breast calculations and under which conditions their use might be justified in lieu of fully segmented phantoms has not yet been thoroughly investigated. The purpose of this work is to investigate the effects of more realistic segmentation of breast tissues in model-based Monte Carlo breast dosimetry. Possible inaccuracies may occur during the transport of particles through the breast creating differences in the photon energy fluence, and during the deposition of dose because of choices of dose scoring media and incorrect photon energy fluence. In this paper, the current model-based practices of brachytherapy and mammography radiation protection are investigated and compared to fully segmented calculations. The modeling of calcifications in the breast is also investigated.

II. METHODS

Monte Carlo calculations are performed with the EGSnrc\textsuperscript{13} user-code BrachyDose.\textsuperscript{14,15} BrachyDose estimates dose as collision kerma scored with a tracklength estimator using mass energy absorption coefficients (calculated with the EGSnrc user-code g). In all calculations, 64 fully modeled\textsuperscript{16} TheraSeed 200 103Pd brachytherapy seeds [mean emerging photon energy of 20.71 keV (Ref.17)] are placed in a cube ranging from (2,2,2) cm to (1.23,1.23,1.23) cm. As these cubes overlap, the central x, y, and z coordinates of ±0.55 cm or ±0.05 cm and axes parallel to the z-axis. The 0.05 cm offsets ensure that the centers of the seeds do not lie on voxel boundaries. A 64 cm\textsuperscript{3} planning treatment volume (PTV) region is defined as a cube ranging from (2,2,2) cm to (−2,−2,−2) cm. As these dimensions represent a larger PTV and lower seed density than the median clinical dimensions,\textsuperscript{18} calculations are also performed with seed central x, y, and z coordinates of ±0.92 cm or ±0.46 cm with a PTV ranging from (1.23,1.23,1.23) cm to (−1.23,−1.23,−1.23) cm to approximate a clinically small dense treatment.

For voxels containing seeds, doses are calculated by employing a volume correction to account for the volume occupied by the seeds. Simulations of 10\textsuperscript{9} histories achieve statistical uncertainties of less than 0.2% on the dose in voxels in the PTV. These high precision calculations are not necessarily needed for the calculation of dose volume histograms (DVHs) as simulations of 10\textsuperscript{7} histories produce nearly indistinguishable curves.

Densities and elemental compositions of breast tissues and breast calcifications are taken from Woodard and White 1986 (Ref. 19) and ICRU Report 46 (Ref. 20), respectively. (Table I). The averaged breast tissues in this work are specified as percent mixtures by mass. For most calculations, proportions of 25% fibroglandular tissue and 75% adipose tissue by mass (23.7% and 76.3% by volume) are used to approximate the recommendations of Yaffe et al.\textsuperscript{2} The mass energy absorption coefficient ratios of fibroglandular tissue, adipose tissue, and a 25% gland 75% adipose mixture (by mass) to water are within a range of ±0.01 over the photon energy range of 10–30 keV, at 0.80 (gland/water), 0.60 (adipose/water), and 0.65 ((25/75 mixture)/water), respectively.

Physical dose distributions and dose volume histograms are both calculated. To investigate the doses to adipose and fibroglandular tissues separately, an in-house code was developed to allow the calculation of DVHs, wherein the volume considered consists only of those voxels within the PTV containing one medium (e.g., DVHs for voxels containing gland only).

II.A. Dose to gland and adipose versus dose to an averaged tissue

For this portion of the study, a simple geometry configuration defined as a 12 × 12 × 12 cm\textsuperscript{3} phantom with (1 mm)\textsuperscript{3} voxels is used to approximate a breast brachytherapy treatment; dose distributions are unchanged within statistics with (2 mm)\textsuperscript{3} voxels. The PTV is at the center of the phantom. The whole phantom is filled with an averaged tissue of given proportions of gland and adipose or each voxel is randomly assigned a single tissue so as to create a phantom with the same proportion of tissues by mass. For example, one phantom has voxels containing a single averaged tissue (25% gland and 75% adipose by mass), while the other has each voxel randomly assigned gland or adipose so as to maintain this proportion by mass over the entire phantom. These phantoms are called “25/75-averaged-tissue phantom” and “25/75-randomly-segmented phantom,” respectively, and the averaged tissue is denoted by 25/75-averaged-tissue. In general, the naming scheme used in this work is \textit{[proportions of gland/adipose/calciﬁcation by mass]-[segmentation model]}. For the averaged tissue phantoms, dose is scored either in the averaged tissue (to investigate the method often

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Density (g/cm\textsuperscript{3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibroglandular</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipose</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
used in brachytherapy calculations) or in fibro glandular tissue (to investigate the method used for mammography radiation protection studies\textsuperscript{2–4} albeit with a much different source geometry). For randomly segmented phantoms, dose is scored in the tissue of each particular voxel.

These phantoms are used to investigate the hypothesis that the photon energy fluence remains relatively unchanged between an averaged tissue phantom and a randomly segmented phantom. Assuming this is the case, these phantoms can provide information concerning dose differences that arise from modeling gland and adipose tissues separately or using an averaged tissue phantom independent of the effects of differing photon energy fluence. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show representational slices of the 25/75-averaged-tissue and 25/75-randomly-segmented phantoms, respectively.

II.B. Effect of realistic segmentation on photon energy fluence

To approximate a realistically segmented breast, a phantom was created using a numerical breast phantom from the work of Zastrow et al.\textsuperscript{21} Breast phantom 070604PA1 was chosen, because its proportions are nearly 25% gland and 75% adipose by mass. This phantom contains three classes of fibroglandular and adipose tissues that differ in their dielectric properties. Voxels in the Zastrow phantom containing any class of fibroglandular (adipose) tissue are set to the fibroglandular (adipose) tissue (composition found in Table I) in the phantoms for the present work. The numerical phantom also contains a so-called transitional tissue (having dielectric properties transitioning between gland and adipose), which is approximated in the phantoms for the present work as being 50% adipose and 50% fibroglandular tissues by mass. The center of the phantom for the present work is set to (0,0,0) cm and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cm$^3$ centered cube of $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$ mm$^3$ voxels is taken from the numerical phantom of voxels of the same size. The $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cm$^3$ detailed cube is surrounded by single, large voxels of adipose tissue that extend to the outer dimensions of the numerical phantom ($x = \pm 7.5$ cm, $y = \pm 9.55$ cm, and $z = \pm 6.75$ cm). In the detailed cube, 9% of voxels are gland, 31% are 50/50 gland/adipose, and 60% are adipose [see a typical slice in Fig. 1(c)]. A second phantom is also created that is identical except that the detailed $5 \times 5 \times 5$ cm$^3$ cube is filled with voxels of an averaged tissue with the same proportions by mass as the segmented detailed cube (26.4% fibroglandular and 73.6% adipose tissue). These phantoms are called “26.4/73.6-realistically-segmented phantom” and “26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue phantom,” respectively, and the averaged tissue is denoted by “26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue.” The position of the seeds and PTV remain the same as those of the randomly segmented phantom as they lie approximately in the center of the distribution of fibroglandular tissue.

To approximate the use of CT data to assign voxel densities, an additional modified averaged tissue phantom is created such that each voxel contains the averaged tissue material but with voxel densities identical to that of the realistically segmented phantom.

A second realistically segmented phantom is also created using another computational phantom from Zastrow et al. to confirm that the general trend of the results found are not dependent on the particular glandular density of the 26.5/73.6-reallyistically-segmented phantom. This denser phantom is composed of approximately 55% fibroglandular and 45% adipose tissues.

II.C. The effect of calcifications on photon energy fluence

A randomly segmented phantom is created composed of 22.5% fibroglandular tissue, 72.5% adipose tissue, and 5% calcification by mass (22.1%, 76.3%, and 1.6% by volume, respectively) with calcified (1 mm$^3$) voxels distributed randomly throughout the entire phantom. The fraction of calcification was chosen based on results found in the literature\textsuperscript{22,23} While the dimensions of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm$^3$ used may be too small to represent the size of an average calcification, it serves well as a limiting case scenario; if small, randomly distributed calcifications significantly effect the ability to approximate the photon energy fluence with an averaged tissue, then larger ones will have an effect. This phantom is compared to an averaged tissue phantom of the same proportions, a second averaged tissue phantom where the density of each voxel matches that of the same voxel in the randomly segmented phantom, and a third averaged tissue phantom composed of 25% gland and 75% adipose with the same voxel densities as the randomly segmented phantom (that includes calcifications). The randomly segmented and averaged tissue phantoms are

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{Diagrams showing representational x-y slices of inner portions of various phantoms. (A) a slice in the 25/75-averaged-tissue phantom. (B) a slice of the 25/75-randomly-segmented phantom. (C) a representational slice of only the detailed inner section of the 26.4/73.6-reallyistically-segmented phantom and (D) a slice of the 22.5/72.5/5-randomly-segmented phantom. In (B) and (D), white/light gray represents gland voxels, gray represents adipose voxels, and black represents calcification. Seed positions are shown in dark gray in (A) and (C) but omitted in (B) and (D) for clarity. The seed positions in (B) and (D) would be the same as in (A).}
\end{figure}
two curves implies that the media in the 25/75-randomly-segmented phantom is sufficiently uniformly distributed such that, to first order, the photon energy fluence remains the same between the two phantoms. This is confirmed by the fact that the explicitly calculated photon energy fluences at the center of each phantom agree within 2%. The agreement also implies that, in the very unlikely case that a realistic breast is also sufficiently uniform in its distribution of gland and adipose tissues (i.e., the photon energy fluence of a realistic breast remains unchanged from that of an averaged tissue phantom), the method used in mammography of photon transport in an averaged tissue and dose scoring in a fibroglandular tissue would provide accurate dose volume metrics and mean doses to glandular tissue.

Figure 3 compares the DVHs for the entire PTV for the 25/75-randomly-segmented phantom (dose to gland and adipose voxels) and 25/75-averaged-tissue phantom (with dose scored in fibroglandular tissue) and illustrates that differences in the shape of the DVH can arise when an averaged tissue is used to approximate the dose scored to segmented gland and adipose voxels. A small but clear difference is present between the two curves (similar to the results of Afsharpour et al.\(^1^1\)) which shows that, despite a nearly identical photon energy fluence for both calculations, inaccurate doses can occur when scoring in an averaged tissue.

The differences in shape between the two DVHs of Fig. 3 are explained by the differences in mass energy absorption coefficients (and will thus be sensitive to the choice of tissue composition). The mass energy absorption coefficient of gland is higher than that of 25/75-averaged-tissue and so, given the same photon energy fluence, those voxels containing gland will receive a higher dose and are seen in region A of the DVH. Conversely, adipose has a lower mass energy absorption coefficient than 25/75-averaged-tissue and so absorbed dose will be lower for the same photon energy fluence as seen in region B in the DVH. These effects are illustrated in Fig. 4, which shows a dose profile in the y-direction of the phantoms at \(x = 0.2\) cm and \(z = 0.2\) cm. The calculated
Curves IV and V show the dose to the adipose voxels in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue by mass. Curve I shows the dose to all voxels in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue by mass. Curves II and III show the dose to the gland voxels in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue by mass in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue phantom. Curves IV and V show the dose to the adipose voxels in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue phantom and the dose to those same voxels in a 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 73.6% adipose tissue phantom, respectively.

dose in the 25/75-randomly-segmented phantom is higher or lower than that in the 25/75-averaged-tissue (25/75-averaged-tissue scoring) calculation depending on whether the voxel being considered is fibroglandular or adipose tissue. The 25/75-averaged-tissue (gland scoring) and 25/75-averaged-tissue (adipose scoring) results show agreement on the order of 0.5%–1% with the 25/75-randomly-segmented phantom when the voxel being considered is fibroglandular tissue or adipose tissue, respectively, which again is a result of the similar photon energy fluences in both phantoms.

III.B. Realistic segmentation and its effect on photon energy fluence

Curves II and III in Fig. 5 compare the DVHs for the dose to only the gland voxels within the PTV of the 26.4/73.6-realistically-segmented phantom (curve II) to the dose to the voxels corresponding to the same spatial coordinates of the 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue phantom with dose scored in gland (curve III). It was observed in Fig. 2 that gland scoring resulted in DVHs having unnoticeable difference between the 25/75-randomly-segmented and 25/75-averaged-tissue phantoms because on average the photon energy fluences were very close to each other. In Fig. 5, however, the lower gland dose in the 26.4/73.6-realistically-segmented phantom implies that the photon energy fluence within the fibroglandular tissue voxels is lower on average than in those of same voxels in the 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue phantom. This is explained by the fact that a phantom with realistic segmentation has larger groupings of fibroglandular tissue voxels. The voxels toward the center of these groupings are surrounded by other fibroglandular tissue voxels, and photons delivering dose in these voxels will have experienced more attenuation compared to photons in the same voxels of an averaged tissue phantom as a result of the higher density and mass attenuation coefficient of gland. Hence, the dose is lower in these voxels due to reduced photon energy fluence.

Figure 6 compares the DVHs for the entire PTV in a realistically segmented (26.4/73.6) phantom of 26.4% fibroglandular tissue and 76.3% adipose tissue by mass (same proportions as in figure 5 and same as curve I in figure 5) and for a 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue phantom of the same proportions of tissue by mass with dose scored in the 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue. Additionally, the differences seen in the comparison of curves II and III in Fig. 5 reflect the inaccuracy of using the photon energy fluence in an averaged tissue to approximate the photon energy fluence in the gland voxels of a
realistically segmented phantom. The gland voxels in a realistically segmented phantom will have a lower photon energy fluence on average than the same voxels of an averaged tissue phantom. This result is somewhat ameliorated in the comparison of the DVHs in Fig. 6 (where all voxels are considered) because considering both gland and adipose voxels of a realistically segmented phantom will mean that lower photon energy fluence in gland and higher photon energy fluence in adipose will both be included in the same volume. While photon energy fluence may differ significantly on a voxel by voxel basis, the average photon energy fluence in the entire PTV for an averaged tissue phantom will be closer to the average photon energy fluence in realistically segmented gland and adipose voxels than it will to the photon energy fluence in gland voxels alone. This will be reflected as increased agreement in dose volume histograms.

In general, an averaged tissue phantom with individual voxel densities equal to that of a realistically segmented phantom will have a photon energy fluence in closer agreement with that of the realistically segmented phantom. However, as the densities of gland and adipose differ to a much smaller degree than the mass attenuation coefficients (approximately 7% vs 20% difference, respectively), the modeling of voxels of varying density does not fully overcome the differences in photon energy fluence. For example, in Fig. 5, the difference between the minimum dose that 90% of the volume receives (D90) for the 26.4/73.6-realistically-segmented (gland voxels) calculation and the 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue (gland scoring) calculation is approximately 6.3%. The use of a 26.4/73.6-averaged-tissue phantom with voxel densities equal to that of the 26.4/73.6-realistically-segmented phantom (not shown) only reduces the difference to 4.9%.

It is important to note that the improvement in dose volume metrics that would result from improved simulation of photon energy fluence would only occur if one was considering the dose to either gland or adipose. The inaccuracy of using an averaged tissue phantom when the dose to gland is desired results from inaccurate photon energy fluence alone and so any improvement in photon energy fluence will lead to improvement in dose volume metrics. In contrast, for dose to an entire volume (both gland and adipose), what accuracy exists in DVHs (Fig. 6) is a result of the competing effects of inaccurate photon energy fluence and inaccuracies from dose scored in averaged tissue rather than gland and adipose (regions A and B in Fig. 3). Consequently, an improvement in photon energy fluence simulation will nullify the competing effect and the differences shown in Fig. 3 will resurface.

A second realistically segmented phantom was created using another computational phantom from Zastrow et al.21 This phantom was composed of approximately 55% fibroglandular and 45% adipose tissues. The effects found in Figs. 5 and 6 were qualitatively identical but with slightly differing magnitude with this denser phantom. This confirms that the results of this work are not unique to the particular glandular density in the phantom used.

The results from the simulations with a seed configuration approximating a small, dense treatment (not presented) confirm the general effects and their relative magnitudes discussed above.

In all calculations, the choice of tissue compositions can significantly affect the dose calculated in the breast. For instance, the compositions in Hammerstein et al.3 could be used instead of those of Woodard and White and this choice would affect the magnitude of the effects discussed in this work (e.g., the mass energy absorption coefficient for gland at 20 keV is 17% lower for the composition in Woodard and White than that in Hammerstein et al.) but would not change the conclusions.

### III.C. The effect of calcifications on photon energy fluence

A 22.5/72.5/5-randomly-segmented phantom with 22.5% fibroglandular tissue, 72.5% adipose tissue, and 5% calcification by mass was created. In Fig. 7, the DVH for the dose to the fibroglandular voxels within the PTV is compared to the DVH of the voxels corresponding to the same spatial coordinates for a 22.5/72.5/5-averaged-tissue phantom (gland scoring). The lower dose for the 22.5/72.5/5-randomly-segmented phantom shows that, despite the media being randomly distributed, calcifications in the breast significantly lower the average photon energy fluence.

Figure 8 contains DVHs calculated for the entire PTV. Curve I shows the DVH for the entire PTV for the 22.5/72.5/5-randomly-segmented phantom. The disagreement between curve I and the DVH for the 22.5/72.5/5-averaged-tissue phantom with dose scored in 22.5/72.5/5-averaged-tissue (curve II) shows that the difference in photon energy fluence between the 22.5/72.5/5-randomly-segmented phantom and the 22.5/72.5/5-averaged-tissue phantom is too large to be compensated for by changes in scored dose between gland, adipose, calcification, and the 22.5/72.5/5-averaged-tissue of those three media. Curve III...
is for calculations with a phantom that contains a 22.5%/72.5%/5-randomly-segmented phantom, which is used for calculations with a phantom that contains a 25%/75-averaged-tissue phantom to approximate the photon energy fluence of the 22.5%/72.5%/5-randomly-segmented phantom. The photon energy fluence is not accurately determined if an averaged tissue phantom is used in Monte Carlo simulations. Given the typical composition of the breast, the dose to gland tissue within the volume considered is desired, then the phantom must be properly segmented to achieve accurate doses and dose volume metrics. If the dose to adipose tissue is desired, then an averaged tissue (adipose scoring) phantom may be sufficient to achieve accurate dose volume metrics. If dose metrics for the entire volume (all tissues) are desired, and the dose is scored in an averaged tissue without calculation. The elimination of calcification (and its high Z contribution to composition) from the scoring averaged tissue moves curve IV closer to agreement with curve III (compared with II versus I), the inability of the 22.5%/72.5%/5-randomly-segmented phantom to approximate the photon energy fluence of the 22.5%/72.5%/5-randomly-segmented phantom is clear.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The photon energy fluence is not accurately determined if an averaged tissue phantom is used in Monte Carlo simulations. Given the typical composition of the breast, if the dose to gland tissue within the volume considered is desired, then the phantom must be properly segmented to achieve accurate doses and dose volume metrics. If the dose to adipose tissue is desired, then an averaged tissue (adipose scoring) phantom may be sufficient to achieve accurate dose volume metrics. If dose metrics for the entire volume (all tissues) are desired, and the dose is scored in an averaged tissue, inaccuracies in the photon energy fluence will partially compensate for inaccuracies in scoring dose in an averaged tissue rather than in gland and adipose separately. While significant dose differences may exist on a voxel by voxel basis, reasonably correct dose volume metrics will result.

Regardless of whether the dose to a single tissue or an entire volume is desired, the consideration of calcifications
in a breast phantom necessitates the use of realistic segmentation as the effect of the composition of calculations on the photon energy fluence is large.

Further work is required to extend the conclusions of the current work for $^{103}$Pd to other low-energy brachytherapy sources (e.g., $^{125}$I and 50 kV electronic brachytherapy sources). While the ratio of mass energy absorption coefficients of gland to adipose remain relatively constant in the energy range of interest, the ratio of mass attenuation coefficients of gland to adipose converges as energy increases, reaching approximately 1.05 at 50 keV. It is expected that the observed effects are not as important for higher-energy sources such as $^{192}$Ir, because the mass energy absorption and mass attenuation coefficients of the breast tissues are in much closer agreement at these photon energies.

The assignment of voxel densities based on CT data to an averaged tissue phantom reduces differences seen in photon energy fluence but not to such a degree that differences in dose volume metrics disappear.

It is likely that the methods commonly used in mammography radiation protection calculations overestimate the average dose to the glandular tissue. This arises because the averaged tissue phantoms do not accurately reflect the photon energy fluence in the gland of a realistic breast.
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