

Alain Bellerive

PHENO O5 SYMPOSIUM World Year of Phenomenology



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON MAY 2 - 4, 2005

Organizers: Vernon Barger, Francis Halzen, Tao Han (chair), Martin Olsson, Linda Dolan, Hooman Davoudiasi, Patrick Huber, Heather Logan

http://www.pheno.info/symposia/pheno05

Recognizing the contributions of Martin Olsson and Don Reeder to phenomenology research Canada Research Chair Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Physics

Neutrino Oscillation Experiments

Special Thanks to: B. Berger (KamLAND - Moriond2005) C. M. Cattadori (Radiochemical – Neutrino2004) M. Nakahata (SuperK – Neutrino2004) T. Nakaya (K2K – Neutrino2004 – hep0411038) C. Mariani (K2K – Moriond2005) Choji Saji (SuperK – ICHEP2004)

Outline

- Introduction
- Solar Neutrino Experiments
- Neutrinos produced at Reactors
- Atmospheric Neutrino Review
- Long baseline Neutrino Beams
- Summary and Conclusion

Neutrino Mixing As in the quark sector one defines a neutrino mixing matrix which relates the mass and weak eigenstates

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_e \\ v_\mu \\ v_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Quark:

$$\theta_{12} \approx \pi/14$$
 $\theta_{23} \approx \pi/76$
 yes
 $\theta_{13} \approx \pi/870$

 Neutrino:
 $\theta_{12} \approx \pi/6$
 $\theta_{23} \approx \pi/4$
 ???
 $\theta_{13} < \pi/20$

 solar
 atmospheric
 CP violation
 short-baseline

 $U_{\alpha i} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$

 where $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$, and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$

Neutrino Oscillations (2-flavor analysis)

- Physics:
 Δm² & sin(2θ)
- Experiment:

$$\Delta m^2 \equiv \Delta m_{ij}^2$$
 and $\theta \equiv \theta_{ij}$

3 Parameters !

$$\Delta m^2 = m_j^2 - m_i^2$$

 $\theta = Mixing angle$

Distance (L) & Energy (E)

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_e \\ V_{\mu} \\ V_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The state evolves with time or distance

Solar Neutrino Experiments

- Review of the Solar Standard Model (SSM)
- Radiochemical experiments: Chlorine Gallium
- SuperKamiokande (some preliminary results)
- Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (Salt Results) ***

Neutrino Production in the Sun



Chlorine Measurements: Homestake

- 1960's: ³⁷Cl + $v_e \rightarrow {}^{37}$ Ar + e^-
- Depth: 4850 ft
- Detector fluid: 3.8 x 10⁵ litres
- Energy Thresold: 0.814 MeV Sensitive to ⁸B & ⁷Be v's
- Observed rate (SNU) 2.56 ± 0.16(stat) ± 0.16(syst)
 - Expected rate (SNU) 8.5^{+1.8}_{-1.8} [1σ from BP2004]

Gallium Experiments

Small proportional counters are used to count the Germanium

Energy Threshold: 0.233 MeV

Sensitive to pp, ⁷Be, ⁸B, CNO, and pep ν 's

Gallium Measurements: SAGE (on-going) SAGE overall 1990-2003 (121 runs)

66.9 ±3.9 (stat) ±3.6 (syst) SNU





Source: Neutrino 2004

PHENO2005

Water Detector: Super-Kamiokande

- ⁸B neutrino measurement by
 v_x + e⁻ → v_x + e⁻
- Sensitive to v_e, v_μ, v_τ $\sigma(v_{\mu,\tau} + e^-) \approx 0.15 \times \sigma(v_e + e^-)$
- High statistics ~15ev./day
- Real time measurement allow studies on time variations
- Studies energy spectrum
- 50 ktons of pure water with 11,146 PMTs (fiducial volume of 22.5 ktons for analysis)



Solar neutrino data in SK (period I)



Daily Variation of SK Rate



Unbined day/night analysis

search for energy and solar zenith angle variations, while employing the solar zenith angle as the time variable

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-\left(\sum_{i} B_{i} + S\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{bin}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n_{i}} \left(B_{i} \cdot u_{i}(c_{\nu}) + m_{i}S \cdot p(c_{\nu}, E_{\nu}) \times z_{i}(t_{\nu}) \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{-\left(\sum_{i} B_{i} + S\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{bin}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n_{i}} \left(B_{i} \cdot u_{i}(c_{\nu}) + m_{i}S \cdot p(c_{\nu}, E_{\nu}) \times z_{i}(t_{\nu}) \right)$$

$$\frac{1.03}{1.02} z(\cos \Theta_{z})$$

PHENO2005

Preliminary: SKI (low E) and SKII (new)

Solar neutrino energy spectrum

Direction to the sun



PHENO2005

Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (Canada)

2092 m to Surface (6010 m w.e.)

PMT Support Structure, 17.8 m 9456 20 cm PMTs ~55% coverage within 7 m

Acrylic Vessel, 12 m diameter -

1000 tonnes D_2O — 1700 tonnes H_2O , Inner Shield

5300 tonnes H₂O, Outer Shield Urylon Liner and Radon Seal -

Energy Threshold = 5.511 MeV



The SNO detector observes the following interactions:







Subury Neutrino Observatory D₂O Results (2002)

The limitation and weakness ?!?!



Used the energy PDF to statistically discriminate CC, ES, and NC

Assume and undistorded energy spectrum

In other words, a FLAT survival probability!!!

Shape Constrained Neutrino Fluxes (D₂O) Signal Extraction in Φ_{CC} , Φ_{NC} , Φ_{ES} with $E_{Theshold} > 5 \text{ MeV}$ $\Phi_{cc}(v_e) = 1.76^{+0.06}_{-0.05} \text{ (stat.)}^{+0.09}_{-0.09} \text{ (syst.) x10}^{6} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ $\Phi_{es}(v_x) = 2.39^{+0.24}_{-0.23}$ (stat.) $^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$ (syst.) x10⁶ cm⁻²s⁻¹ $\Phi_{nc}(v_x) = 5.09^{+0.44}_{-0.43}$ (stat.) $^{+0.46}_{-0.43}$ (syst.) x10⁶ cm⁻²s⁻¹ Signal Extraction in $\Phi_{\rm e}, \Phi_{\mu\tau}$ $\Phi_{e} = 1.76^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ (stat.) $^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$ (syst.) x10⁶ cm⁻²s⁻¹ $\Phi_{\mu\tau} = 3.41^{+0.45}_{-0.45}$ (stat.) $^{+0.48}_{-0.45}$ (syst.) x10⁶ cm⁻²s⁻¹

Subury Neutrino Observatory

Salt Results (391 days)



Nucl-exp / 0502021

NEW

Advantages of Salt: more sensitive

- Neutrons capturing on ³⁵Cl provide higher neutron energy above threshold.
- Higher capture efficiency
- Gamma cascade changes the angular profile.







Advantages of salt: event isotropy



Isotropy variable, β_{14} , function of angles between each pair of hit PMTs (θ_{ij}) in event [similar to *thrust* in collider physics]

β₁₄ powerful discriminating variable between NC and CC/ES events



Salt phase (July 2001 – September 2003) $n+{}^{35}\text{Cl} \rightarrow {}^{36}\text{Cl} \ast \rightarrow {}^{36}\text{Cl} + \gamma's : E = 8.6 \text{ MeV}, \sigma_{n,\gamma} = 44b$ ES CC NC Radial NC changed Distribution due to larger σ_{nv} $(R^3, R_{AV}=1)$ 2 0 1 2 0 1 1 n Model dependent NC shifted to Energy Distribution higher energy (MeV) 10 5 10 10 5 Solar Direction Unchanged Distribution 1 -1 0 0 1 -1 0 -1 Isotropy All new due Distribution to multiple γ 's 0.5 **PHENO2005** 0 0.5 0 0.5 0 22

Charged Current (CC=v_e) Spectrum





SNO: Salt results and comparison to SSM

More precise salt results confirm D₂O results





PHENO2005



(ρ = -0.532)

In standard neutrino oscillations, A_{NC} should be zero...



PHENO2005

8

9

10

11

0.5

C

-0.5

Alain Bellerive

13

20

12

Kinetic Energy (MeV)

SNO at Present

$$v_x + d \rightarrow p + n + v_x$$

 $n + {}^{3}\text{He} \rightarrow p + t$

- Event by event separation
- Break the correlation between NC & CC events
- Measure in separate data streams NC & CC events
- Different systematic errors than neutron capture on NaCI
- Taking data until end of 2006

Neutral Current Detectors





KamLAND: Neutrinos Produced at Reactors





KamLAND

- 1000 tons liquid scintillator
- 13 m thin transparent balloon
- 1325 inner looking PMT's

Powerful (70 GW) reactors at L ~ 180 \pm 35 km Produce 1.3 x 10⁶ v_e /s/cm² Target ~ 10³² protons Fully covers LMA (in coincidence mode)

Antineutrinos detected through inverse *b*-decay: $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$ Energy threshold: 1.8 MeV

Prompt signal: $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$ (0.51 MeV) with $E_{\text{prompt}} = E_{\bar{\nu}} - 0.8$ MeV

Delayed signal (~200 μ s): neutron capture on hydrogen E_{delay} = 2.2 MeV

Reactors Antineutrinos



PHENO2005

cross-section

Progress in 2002 on the Solar **Neutrino Problem March 2002** April 2002 with **SNO (confirm with** salt in 2005) **Dec 2002** with KamLAND





Latest KamLAND Result (Nov 2004)



PHENO2005

Alain Bellerive

KamLAND: L/E Analysis

KamLAND uses a range of distances (L) It cannot assign a specific L to each event Nevertheless the ratio of detected/expected for L_0/E (or 1/E) is an interesting quantity, as it isolates the oscillation (sine) pattern

Goodness of fit:

0.7% - decay
1.8% - decoherence
11.1% - oscillation
0.4% - constant suppression

Data prefer oscillation to alternate hypotheses

References:

V.Barger et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 2640

E.Lisi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 1166



Atmospheric Source Sour

 Zenith angle results (SKI) hep-ex/0501064

 L/E analysis with SKI

Status SKII



SuperK: Atmospheric Neutrinos

SK1 data set: (FC,PC 1489days, up- μ 1646 days)



Zenith Angle Distributions (SKI re-analyzed)

$\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ **2-flavor oscillations**

sin²2θ=1.0, ∆m²=2.1x10⁻³ eV²

Null oscillation



Source: ICHEP 2004

2-flavor $v_{\mu} \leftrightarrow v_{\tau}$ **Oscillation Analysis: Results**



PHENO2005

L/E Analysis $P_{\mu\tau} = sin^2(2\theta) sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2 L / E)$ SuperK

Search the first dip of the sinusoidal $\upsilon_u \leftrightarrow \upsilon_\tau$ flavor transition



Barger et al: PRD54 (1996) 1

```
Grossman and Worah: hep-ph/9807511
```

Lisi et al: PRL85 (2000) 1166

 → Direct evidence for oscillations
 → Strong constraint to oscillation parameters, especially ∆m² value

Selection Criteria

Expand fiducial volume (FC)
 need more statistics

•Select events with high resolution in L/E

• ∆L/E < 70%

Use FC(single, multi-ring) μ -like and PC

Barger et al: PLB462 (1999) 462

L/E significance



L/E Oscillation Parameters



Zenith Angle Distributions (SKII PRELIMINARY)



Source: ICHEP 2004

K2K: Neutrinos Produced at Accelerator





K2K Flux Measurements

- The same detector technology as Super-K.
- Sensitive to low energy neutrinos.

$$N_{SK}^{exp} = N_{KT}^{obs} \bullet \int \Phi_{SK}(E_v)\sigma(E_v)dE_v \bullet \frac{M_{SK}}{M_{KT}} \bullet \frac{\mathcal{E}_{SK}}{\mathcal{E}_{KT}} \bullet \frac{\mathcal{E}_{SK}}{\mathcal{E}_$$

E :





Conclusion

- Neutrino experiments are a very rich probe!
- Solar neutrino mixing established by Chlorine, Gallium, SuperK and SNO experiments
- Matter effect explains the energy dependence of solar oscillation and SNO solved the solar neutrino problem
- Solar solution (LMA) precisely confirmed by KamLAND
- SuperK fully solved the atmospheric <u>anomaly</u>
- Oscillation parameters from K2K consistent with the atmospheric measurements of SuperK

"Neutrino oscillation experiments are now part of an industry for precise measurements"